UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,696	06/06/2006	Nigel Paul Schofield	M03B138	7400
20411 THE BOC GRO	7590 05/02/200 OUP, INC.	EXAMINER		
575 MOUNTA	IN AVENUE		WHITE, DWAYNE J	
MURRAY HILL, NJ 07974-2064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,696	SCHOFIELD, NIGEL PAUL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DWAYNE J. WHITE	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20,22 and 24-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers.	rawn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 June 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Note

Due to inadvertent errors made in the Office Action dated 02 April 2008, the Examiner has hereby vacated the previous Office Action and replaced it with the Office Action herein. The Examiner regrets any inconvenience this may have caused Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 15-20, 22 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kabasawa et al. in view of (US 2003/0077187 A1). Kabasawa et al. discloses a regenerative pumping mechanism comprising a rotor and a stator; the rotor having a series of blades positioned in an annular array on one side of the rotor and is supported by magnetic bearings 8/12 and roller bearings 6/7; and a means for actively controlling the relative axial movement between the rotor and the stator to control the axial clearance between the rotor and the stator. The means disclosed by Kabasawa et al. comprising magnet bearing 20 having two electromagnets 14 and 15 mounted on the stator, a sensor 17 for detecting the displacement of the rotor (Paragraph 73, and a control unit 17. The magnetic bearing further comprises a magnetic bearing rotor 18 between the first and second electromagnets and the control unit controls the strength of the magnetic field generated by the electromagnet (Page 4, paragraph

72). Kabasawa et al. also discloses an embodiment wherein a magnetostricve material is used as the actuator for controlling the axial movement (Paragraphs 141-142). Kabasawa does not disclose the rotor blades extending axially into an annular channel of stator.

Schofield teaches a molecular pump having axially extending rotor blades and corresponding stator channels. Since both Schofield and Kabasawa et al. disclose molecular pumps and it is well known in the art that either type of pump can use magnetic bearings, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a pumping stage of Kabasawa et al., with the teaches of Schofield, by providing an arrangement as claimed for the purpose of achieve the desired vacuum.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kabasawa et al. view of Schofield in further view of Tarumoto et al. (4,636,285). Kabasawa et al. as modified by Schofield in the above rejection discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for the rotor or stator being formed of a wear resistant material.

Tarumoto et al. teaches a wear resistant coating for sliding member. Since Kabasawa et al. and Tarumoto et al. teach components that move relative to stationary components, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the rotor and stator of Kabasawa et al., with the teaches of Tarumoto et al., by providing a wear resistant coating for the purpose of reducing wear on the components.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for actively controlling

Application/Control Number: 10/581,696 Page 4

Art Unit: 3745

relative axial movement arranged to axially move at least one of the rolling bearings must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

CONCLUSION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3745

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DWAYNE J. WHITE whose telephone number is (571)272-

4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 3:30 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dwayne J White/ Examiner, Art Unit 3745

DJW